

# Supervisor's statement of a final thesis

Czech Technical University in Prague

Faculty of Information Technology

**Student:** Bc. Ruhi Ravichandran  
**Supervisor:** Ing. Pavel Kordík, Ph.D.  
**Thesis title:** Predictive models in Logistics: Comparison of traditional time series techniques with an artificial neural network model approach  
**Branch of the study:** Knowledge Engineering (Master)

Date: 7. 6. 2015

<i>Evaluation criterion:</i>		<i>The evaluation scale: 1 to 5.</i>	
<b>1. Difficulty and other comments on the assignment</b>		<i>1 = extremely challenging assignment, <b>2 = rather difficult assignment,</b> 3 = assignment of average difficulty, 4 = easier, but still sufficient assignment, 5 = insufficient assignment</i>	
<i>Criteria description:</i> Characterize this final thesis in detail and its relationships to previous or current projects. Comment what is difficult about this thesis (in case of a more difficult thesis, you may overlook some shortcomings that you would not in case of an easy assignment, and on the contrary, with an easy assignment those shortcomings should be evaluated more strictly.)			
<i>Comments:</i> Real world assignment are always more difficult because cooperation with industry partners brings additional requirements and procedures that are not necessary when processing an academic assignment.			
<i>Evaluation criterion:</i>		<i>The evaluation scale: 1 to 4.</i>	
<b>2. Fulfilment of the assignment</b>		<i><b>1 = assignment fulfilled,</b> 2 = assignment fulfilled with minor objections, 3 = assignment fulfilled with major objections, 4 = assignment not fulfilled</i>	
<i>Criteria description:</i> Assess whether the thesis meets the assignment statement. In Comments indicate parts of the assignment that have not been fulfilled, completely or partially, or extensions of the thesis beyond the original assignment. If the assignment was not completely fulfilled, try to assess the importance, impact, and possibly also the reason of the insufficiencies.			
<i>Comments:</i> The assignment was fulfilled, requirements for the thesis met.			
<i>Evaluation criterion:</i>		<i>The evaluation scale: 1 to 4.</i>	
<b>3. Size of the main written part</b>		<i><b>1 = meets the criteria,</b> 2 = meets the criteria with minor objections, 3 = meets the criteria with major objections, 4 = does not meet the criteria</i>	
<i>Criteria description:</i> Evaluate the adequacy of the extent of the final thesis, considering its content and the size of the written part, i.e. that all parts of the thesis are rich on information and the text does not contain unnecessary parts.			
<i>Comments:</i> The text of the thesis is proportional to requirements.			
<i>Evaluation criterion:</i>		<i>The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).</i>	
<b>4. Factual and logical level of the thesis</b>		<i>82 (B)</i>	
<i>Criteria description:</i> Assess whether the thesis is correct as to the facts or if there are factual errors and inaccuracies. Evaluate further the logical structure of the thesis, links among the chapters, and the comprehensibility of the text for a reader.			
<i>Comments:</i> Results of exploratory data analysis should be better presented, but I understand that we are limited by the confidentiality agreement. Also, methodology of experiments should be improved and better described. One should start with a baseline predictor and then optimize features and predictors to get better accuracy. Short term, medium and long term prediction accuracy should be measured.			
<i>Evaluation criterion:</i>		<i>The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).</i>	
<b>5. Formal level of the thesis</b>		<i>86 (B)</i>	
<i>Criteria description:</i> Assess the correctness of formalisms used in the thesis, the typographical and linguistic aspect s, see Dean's Directive No. 12/2014, Article 3.			
<i>Comments:</i> Quite a lot of typos, but easy to read.			
<i>Evaluation criterion:</i>		<i>The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).</i>	
<b>6. Bibliography</b>		<i>96 (A)</i>	

*Criteria description:*

Evaluate the student's activity in acquisition and use of studying materials in his thesis. Characterize the choice of the sources. Discuss whether the student used all relevant sources, or whether he tried to solve problems that were already solved. Verify that all elements taken from other sources are properly differentiated from his own results and contributions. Comment if there was a possible violation of the citation ethics and if the bibliographical references are complete and in compliance with citation standards.

*Comments:*

Well done.

*Evaluation criterion:*

**7. Evaluation of results, publication outputs and awards**

*The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).*

78 (C)

*Criteria description:*

Comment on the achieved level of major results of the thesis and indicate whether the main results of the thesis extend published state-of-the-art results and/or bring completely new findings. Assess the quality and functionality of hardware or software solutions. Alternatively, evaluate whether the software or source code that was not created by the student himself was used in accordance with the license terms and copyright. Comment on possible publication output or awards related to the thesis.

*Comments:*

Results would be slightly better if more data are available, but even with data series available, one can come with interesting experiments and conclusions.

*Evaluation criterion:*

**8. Applicability of the results**

*No evaluation scale.*

*Criteria description:*

Indicate the potential of using the results of the thesis in practice.

*Comments:*

Results might be applied within the company that provided the data set.

*Evaluation criterion:*

**9. Activity and self-reliance of the student**

*The evaluation scale: 1 to 5.*

9a:

1 = excellent activity,

2 = very good activity,

3 = average activity,

4 = weaker, but still sufficient activity,

5 = insufficient activity

9b:

1 = excellent self-reliance,

2 = very good self-reliance,

3 = average self-reliance,

4 = weaker, but still sufficient self-reliance,

5 = insufficient self-reliance.

*Criteria description:*

Review student's activity while working on this final thesis, student's punctuality when meeting the deadlines and consulting continuously and also, student's preparedness for these consultations. Furthermore, review student's independency.

*Comments:*

Independent and very active.

*Evaluation criterion:*

**10. The overall evaluation**

*The evaluation scale: 0 to 100 points (grade A to F).*

82 (B)

*Criteria description:*

Summarize the parts of the thesis that had major impact on your evaluation. The overall evaluation **does not** have to be the arithmetic mean or any other formula with the values from the previous evaluation criteria 1 to 9.

*Comments:*

It is still long way to go before results of this thesis can be applied e.g. to price optimization within the company. Results achieved given limited data available are quite good.

Signature of the supervisor: